

From: Lowrie, Matt <MLowrie@foley.com>
Date: Wednesday, January 4, 2023 at 11:13 AM
To: Kelly Durfee Cardoza <kdc@avaloncon.com>
Cc: Yogurtian, Ara <ayogurtian@belmont-ma.gov>, Lowrie, Matt <MLowrie@foley.com>
Subject: Next PB meeting

First, do you expect the Hill School to request a restart to the hearing due to the potential notice issue?

If not, I am thinking that, at the next hearing, I would like to cover the expected usage of the lot (30ish for every-day school activity, as I understand it, and also when the cars come/go for that, plus the timing and amount of use of spaces beyond that on the 70ish (?) days where overflow parking might otherwise spill onto the streets (120ish times?)), as well as the traffic usage pattern for the maintenance facility.

I would also like to cover 3 of the 4 items the PB asked you to consider, if the Hill School is ready – location of the MF building and adjustments to architecture, orientation/location of the parking space pods, and whether the School might treat the fuel tanks as a separate project (for which I do not know what permitting may be required in the future). I think the pedestrian tunnel inquiry should wait for the traffic peer reviewers and you might ask them to include in their final report/response an assessment of the net-effect (i.e., before versus after) of the Project on pedestrian traffic (especially as that impacts safety and congestion) with and without a tunnel. I suspect the former is already on their list and probably not the latter.

If the pod/building location items require the landscape architect's input, and perhaps as a part of that, we should try to cover the alternatives (and impact in terms of shielding headlights, amount of clearing and cost) of fence location – e.g., no fence, fence at the border, fence at the current proposed location and what (if any) alternatives there may be. If you are not expecting the landscape architect to be available at the next hearing we can push to later whatever we need to push.

I also hope to allow public input, unless the conversation/questions from the PB takes up that time (as it did last time). Either way, there will be additional opportunity for public input after the next meeting.

Finally, I will ask that this email be posted. I'd encourage anyone who is interested in this matter, and the process, to also take the time to read the memo that Town Counsel, George Hall, Esq., prepared responding to the legal positions of the Hill School and of certain of the abutters. It is posted on the Town Website here:

https://pdf.browsealoud.com/PDFViewer/Desktop/viewer.aspx?file=https://pdf.browsealoud.com/StreamingProxy.ashx?url=https://www.belmont-ma.gov/sites/g/files/vyhlif6831/f/uploads/memorandum_to_planning_board_re_bhs_dover_amendme nt1477076.3.pdf&opts=www.belmont-ma.gov#langidsrc=en-us&locale=en-us&dom=www.belmont-ma.gov

Best, --Matt